

Capacitation and Educational Level and their Relation with the Teacher's Digital Skills

CANTU-BALLESTEROS, Lorenia*†, URÍAS-MURRIETA, Maricela, MANCINAS-MORALES, Massiel and FIGUEROA-RODRÍGUEZ, Sebastián

Instituto Tecnológico de Sonora - 5 de Febrero No. 818 sur, Cd. Obregón, Sonora; C.P. 85000, Col. Centro

Received September 02, 2017; Accepted December 21, 2017

Abstract

The objective was to identify the perception of full-time school teachers (ETC, for its acronym in Spanish) about the development of their digital skills and their relationship with the capacitation variables in the pedagogical use of information and communication technologies (ICT) and the educational level of the teacher. The approach was quantitative with a cross-sectional survey research design for two or more groups. The non-probabilistic sample was integrated by 88 teachers who teach classes in fifth and sixth grade of 32 public schools in two cities of Sonora. With a test of analysis of variance (Anova) of repeated measures it was found that the digital skills of critical thinking, problem solving and decision making, digital citizenship and operations and concepts of ICT, were significantly more developed than communication and collaboration; creativity and innovation and research and information management. On the other hand, the carried out statistical tests revealed significant differences between the different digital skills in terms of the variables capacitation in the pedagogical use of ICT and the teacher's educational level. It is concluded that is necessary to promote schemes of continuous capacitation and professional improvement, as well as strategies that support teachers to develop digital skills.

Digital skills, basic education, teachers, information and communication technologies, full-time schools

Citation: CANTU-BALLESTEROS, Lorenia, URÍAS-MURRIETA, Maricela, MANCINAS-MORALES, Massiel and FIGUEROA-RODRÍGUEZ, Sebastián. Capacitation and Educational Level and their Relation with the Teacher's Digital Skills. ECORFAN Journal-Republic of Paraguay. 2017, 3-5: 52-68.

* Correspondence to Author (email: lcantu87472@alumno.itson.edu.mx)

† Researcher contributing first author.

Introduction

Choosing ICT as a topic of study acquires a strategic perspective when this represents better opportunities for individuals and communities (Britos, Cáceres, & Acosta, 2012). The ubiquity that characterizes them is a duality that presents both opportunities and challenges, and at the same time, imposes the task of finding meaning and use to achieve greater inclusion and social impact, a reduction of the digital gap and higher levels of development (United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization [Unesco], 2014).

Due to the above, many countries make economic efforts to incorporate ICT in the social space, particularly in education (Pulfer, 2014; Unesco, 2014), as the only way to ensure that students, their families and communities can have access to them (Vacchieri, 2013) and thereby achieve more and better education for the population (Pulfer, 2014). However, the gaps that persists in relation to who access the technologies, who has connectivity and who use them significantly, are just some examples of the magnitude of the efforts that must be made when incorporating ICT into the education system (Cabero 2015a; Pulfer, 2014).

Faced with this, reasons of both social and pedagogical relevance justify the importance of their inclusion in education and, consequently, their development and research (Pedró, 2011, Poggi, 2014). The first, because it serves the democratization of knowledge, greater social justice and quality education; and the second, because it opens the opportunity generated by ICT for the revision and transformation of educational practices in accordance with the requirements of the 21st century (Poggi, 2014).

On this last statement, Unesco (2008) argues that continuous use of ICT in educational processes allows students to acquire skills to be competent in the search, analysis, evaluation of information, in the approach, problem solving and decision making. It also enables them to be creative, innovative, and capable of communicating, collaborating, publishing and producing knowledge.

In this order of ideas it is necessary to explore, in addition to the issues of availability of equipment and connectivity, those that have to do with the development of the digital skills of teachers and their impact on learning (Unesco, 2014). However, some researches report that teachers of primary education have a low level of ICT skills or a limited development of technological and pedagogical competences (Almerich, Suárez, Jornet, & Orella, 2011;

Suárez, Almerich, Gargallo, & Aliaga, 2010); as well as a low development of digital competences, mainly for communication with students, simulation of scenarios and advanced ICT actions (Vargas-D'Uniam, Chumpitaz-Campos, Suárez-Díaz, & Badia, 2014). In Mexico at least two studies identified at national level corroborate this, one for elementary school teachers who are located at a level of "none and little knowledge of ICT" (Ramírez, 2012) and another one for junior high school teachers who feel digitally competent in the Instrumental and Cognitive factors and not competent in the Didactic-Methodological (Mortis, Valdés, Angulo, García, & Cuevas, 2013).

For the above, and to deepen these results, some studies include the identification and analysis of sociodemographic, academic or contextual variables that relate or influence to the development of digital skills.

Emphasizing that age, sex, frequency of use of the computer, educational level, use of a media classroom, Internet and capacitation received in the use of ICT; among others, they present significant relationships (Almerich et al., 2011, Suárez et al., 2010, Ramírez, 2012, Mortis et al., 2013, Villegas, Mortis, García, & del Hierro, 2017).

In this context, Unesco, the International Society for Technology in Education (ISTE) and the Ministry of Education of Chile, among others, have developed standards in the use of ICT for teachers, students, administrators and directors. For this reason, the Secretary of Public Education (SEP, for its acronym in Spanish) in Mexico believes that no educational reform can evade digital skills standards as they become "descriptors of the knowledge and know-how of students when they use technology and are the fundamental basis to develop competences throughout life and promote insertion in the knowledge society "(SEP, 2011, p.57).

Therefore, the SEP presents a proposal of digital skills standards aligned with organizations such as ISTE and Unesco and specifies a description that refers to: a population that uses digital media and environments to communicate ideas and information and interact with others, this implies understanding of concepts, systems and operation of ICT; in other words, use digital tools to solve different types of problems and organize them into six categories: a) creativity and innovation, 2) communication and collaboration, 3) research and information management; 4) critical thinking, problem solving and decision making; 5) digital citizenship; and 6) operations and concepts of ICT (SEP, 2011).

In the same direction, the ETC program deploys a line of work called digital skills development, with the intention of making the most of the technological resources available to schools, analyzing the advantages they offer to support the treatment of learning content and developing life skills. The purpose is that each time the teacher chooses an ICT to work with the students, its use and purpose from the pedagogical point of view is analyzed in order to reach the digital skills standards promoted for basic education in Mexico (Rodríguez & Ramírez, 2009).

In order for the ETC to promote what has been said in advance and to be able to account for a transformation that makes a difference in relation to a normal working day school, its teachers and the school itself will have to develop their capacities and potential (Poggi, 2009). Mainly because the full-time day becomes an option for the school community to try learning opportunities with ICT and make better use of what they have. In this regard it is emphasized that basic education schools have resources and technological tools to expand and strengthen children's learning, develop digital skills and create more attractive, interesting and flexible scenarios for teaching the different subjects that make up the national curriculum. (Martínez, 2009; Tenti, 2010).

Regarding the last statement the state of Sonora, according to data from the National Institute for the Evaluation of Education (INEE, for its acronym in Spanish, 2015), maintains a privileged position over other states of the Mexican Republic because 76.7% of public basic education schools have at least one computer for educational use and 81.2% of them have Internet connectivity. In both cases the value obtained for the 2013-2014 school year is much higher than that achieved at the national level, which is 39.6% for the first indicator and 37.0% for the second (INEE, 2015).

Additionally, Sonora was selected in 2013 to participate in the Digital Inclusion and Literacy Program (PIAD, for its acronym in Spanish) through the Mi CompuMx project, through which portable computer equipment with pre-loaded educational materials is distributed to all students and teachers on the fifth grade sixth grades; as well as to the directors, supervisors and heads of zone. In addition it is accompanied by strategies for teacher capacitation (SEP, 2014a). With this same program, in 2014 a digital tablet is delivered to all teachers and students of the fifth grade and is provided with a solution for the classroom that includes: a router, a dongle, a white board and a projector (SEP, 2014a, 2014b). On the other hand, the schools receive: a server, a switch and an energy support team (SEP, 2014b). In this continuum and in order to make the study processes more effective and personalized, in 2015 tablets are added as a didactic resource, as well as textbooks and other educational materials (SEP, 2015).

With this panorama, addressing the issue of teachers' digital skills is very relevant, firstly because empirical studies in the Mexican context, and in the State of Sonora, are scarce for primary education and especially for ETC. Every time we have as starting point the inclusion of the digital skills standards in 2011, the incorporation to the PIAD in 2013 and the rebound of the ETC program in the same year. For this reason and four years after the implementation of two important initiatives to promote the development of digital skills, the ETC program and the PIAD, arises the need to identify: What is the perception of ETC primary teachers about the development of their digital skills: creativity and innovation; communication and collaboration; research and information management; critical thinking, problem solving and decision making; digital citizenship and operations and ICT concepts and in which of these dimensions are statistically significant differences presented?

Are there statistically significant differences between teachers' perception of the development of their digital skills and the variables: capacitation in the pedagogical use of ICT and the teacher's educational level?

Consequently, the general objective is to identify the perception of elementary school teachers of ETC on the development of their digital skills and their relationship with the variables capacitation in the pedagogical use of ICT and educational level.

The article is organized in the following sections: the first is the methodology; where the research design is described, the study participants, the instrument, its validity and reliability; the second is the procedure followed to recover the information; the third is the analysis of data, where the type of statistics used, the tests and the support software for the processing of the data are described; the fourth is the results, where the findings are presented and a proposal to contribute to the development of digital skills of elementary education teachers; the fifth, and last, are the conclusions and discussions, where the results are compared with those of other authors and the main findings are concluded.

Methodology

Research design

A cross-sectional survey research design was used to compare two or more groups on the development of teachers' digital skills and their dimensions, based on the capacitation received in the pedagogical use of ICT and the educational level of teachers, in order to be able to make inferences about the data (Creswell, 2012).

Participants

The population of the study was composed of 120 teachers of fifth and sixth grade of 35 primary schools of general modality and complete organization, belonging to the cities of Obregón and Navojoa of the state of Sonora, who were registered for at least one year in the ETC program from the 2015-2016 school year.

The sample consisted of 88 teachers from 32 ETC and was selected through a non-probabilistic sampling of voluntary participation (Hernández, Fernández-Collado, & Baptista, 2014). Its main characteristics are presented below: Of the participants 55.7% (49) were women and 44.3% (39) were males, the ages ranged from 24 to 52 years with an average of 35 (7.66) and 87.5% (77) indicated having a fixed work place, while a 12.5 % (11) internship.

Regarding the variables of interest for the study, 68.2% (60) placed their educational level in undergraduate and 31.8% (28) in postgraduate. For its part, the capacitation received in the pedagogical use of ICT in the last two years referred a minimum of zero and a maximum of eight courses, with an average of 2 (1.43).

Measures

Teachers' digital skills (TDS). The scale was developed for the study from the set of standards and competences ISTE's ITC (2008), established by the SEP (2011) and Unesco (2011). In this self-report instrument the professors referred their perception about their digital skills. It consisted of two sections, one with 18 items of general data and the other with 29, which reflected a set of assessment criteria for the use of ICT as a tool for teaching and learning; these were answered with a Likert scale with five response options: 1 (*never*), 2 (*almost never*), 3 (*sometimes*), 4 (*almost always*) and 5 (*always*) and structured in six subscales:

1. Creativity and innovation. Use of ICT to stimulate students' creative thinking and the development of innovative products (example of indicator: "I assign my students the creation of short animations about characters created by themselves").
2. Communication and collaboration. Use of digital media and environments to communicate and interact with students, teachers and parents. Encouragement of collaborative work among students (example of indicator: "I use digital media to communicate with my colleagues").
3. Research and information management. Use of digital tools to support students in the search, selection, analysis, evaluation of information, data processing and communication of results (example of indicator: "I support my students to organize and systematize the information selected for research using digital tools").
4. Critical thinking, problem solving and decision making. Use of ICT to support students to develop critical thinking to plan, organize and carry out research, manage projects, solve problems and make decisions based on information (example of indicator: "I guide my students so that localized information on the Internet allow them to answer the fundamental question of the problem").
5. Digital citizenship. Support the students in the understanding of human, cultural and social issues related to the use of ICT and in the application of ethical, legal, safe and responsible behavior related to their use (example of indicator: "I pose to my students topics on real situations of the use of technology related to authors' rights and intellectual property").

6. Operations and concepts of ICT. Support students in the understanding of concepts, systems and operation of ICT to use them productively and to transfer their knowledge to learning new ICT (example of indicator: "I support my students to access the Internet on their tablet").

Validity

The instrument was subjected to a process of content validity through the trial of five experts, which was based on the proposal of Escobar-Pérez and Cuervo-Martínez (2008). It was decided to keep 30 out of 49 items, taking into account concordance between judges with content validity coefficients (CVC) greater than .80. The questionnaire reached a total CVC of .92 considered excellent for values greater than .90 (Hernández, 2012).

Reliability

Reliability was measured using the Cronbach's Alpha coefficient; it was concluded with 29 items and a global index of .94. The data by dimension were: creativity and innovation (.86, 4 items), communication and collaboration (.89, 6 items), research and information management (.88, 5 items), critical thinking, problem solving and decision making (.83, 4 items); digital citizenship (.82, 4 items) and operations and concepts of ICT (.88, 6 items).

Process

For the TDS scale administration, authorization was requested to the directors for access to the institutions. The professors were invited, who were informed of the purpose of the study, the importance of their participation and the confidentiality of the information they contributed.

Data Analysis

Descriptive statistics were used by means of frequencies, means and standard deviations to know the characteristics of the sample. For the validity of the instrument the CVC was used (Hernández, 2012) and for reliability the Cronbach's Alpha coefficient (Bojórquez & López, 2013). Finally, to establish the differences between groups were worked with inferential statistics, using the Student's t-test for independent samples and one-way Anova and repeated measures with post-hoc Bonferroni test. Analyzes were carried out with the support of the statistical package SPSS 22.0 and Excel 14.6.1.

Results

To identify the perception of the teachers' digital skills the TDS scale was used, which was designed for the study and by means of an one-way Anova of repeated measures, the scores obtained in the six dimensions were compared.

The results suggested statistically significant differences in the perception of the development of the diverse digital skills of the teachers ($F [5,82] = 171.30, p < .001, \eta^2 = .82$); therefore, through Bonferroni's post-hoc test a better development in critical thinking was identified, problem solving and decision making; digital citizenship and operations and concepts of ICT, since the means were significantly higher than those of the other dimensions without showing differences between them. In addition, research and information management showed a medium and significantly greater advance than creativity and innovation and, in turn, communication and collaboration, the latter obtaining the lowest means and the least development, see tables 1 and 2.

Dimensions	M	DS
Creativity and innovation.	2.47	0.64
Communication and collaboration.	1.77	0.59
Research and information management.	3.46	0.87
Critical thinking, problem solving and decision making.	4.10	0.69
Digital citizenship.	4.07	0.74
Operations and concepts of ICT.	4.05	0.80
General	3.32	0.57

Table 1 Means and standard deviations of the TDS scale dimensions

Reference dimension	Compared dimension	Difference of means	p
1	2	0.70*	<.001
	3	-0.98*	<.001
	4	-1.63*	<.001
	5	-1.6*	<.001
	6	-1.58*	<.001
	2	1	-0.70*
3		-1.68*	<.001
4		-2.33*	<.001
5		-2.30*	<.001
6		-2.28*	<.001
3		1	0.98*
	2	1.68*	<.001
	4	-0.64*	<.001
	5	-0.61*	<.001
	6	-0.59*	<.001

Note: 1 = creativity and innovation; 2 = communication and collaboration; 3 = research and information management; 4 = critical thinking, problem solving and decision making; 5 = digital citizenship; 6 = operations and concepts of ICT.
*p< .05

Table 2 Comparisons of means by pairs of the dimensions of the teachers' digital skills, through Bonferroni's post-hoc test

Likewise, to identify differences between the teachers' digital skills in relation to the number of capacitation courses received in the last two years in the pedagogical use of ICT and the teachers' educational level the one-way Anova and Student's t tests were used, respectively. For the first variable of contrast, three groups of teachers were considered from the average obtained (2 courses). The first included those who reported less than two courses (low capacitation); the second to those who indicated two (average capacitation) and the third to those who participated in more than two (high capacitation).

The test suggested statistically significant differences in the dimensions of creativity and innovation; research and information management; and operations and concepts of ICT. Therefore, a Bonferroni's post-hoc test was conducted that showed that in creativity and innovation; and operations and concepts of ICT, the low capacitation group was the one that established the differences with a lower mean compared to the other two groups (1<2, 3). For research and information management, the low-capacitation group showed a significant difference with the high-capacitation group (1<3). In all cases, the average was higher for teachers who took two or more courses, respectively, on the pedagogical use of ICT (see table 3).

D	Low capacitation n		Medium capacitation n		High capacitation n		F (2,85)	p	η²
	M	SD	M	DE	M	DE			
1	2.16	0.67	2.55	0.58	2.73	0.56	6.42	.003	.13
2	1.61	0.46	1.76	0.58	1.98	0.70	2.69	.074	.06
3	3.12	0.89	3.49	0.85	3.82	0.71	4.76	.011	.10
4	3.93	0.66	4.16	0.68	4.23	0.73	1.50	.228	.03
5	3.90	0.79	4.09	0.73	4.24	0.69	1.41	.251	.03
6	3.65	0.88	4.20	0.69	4.34	0.65	6.69	.002	.14

Note: D = dimension; 1 = creativity and innovation; 2 = communication and collaboration; 3 = research and information management; 4 = critical thinking, problem solving and decision making; 5 = digital citizenship; 6 = operations and concepts of ICT.

Table 3 Comparisons of teachers' digital skills scores through capacitation in the pedagogical use of ICT

For the second variable, the first group included teachers with a bachelor's degree and the second with a postgraduate degree. The test revealed statistically significant differences in three of the dimensions of digital skills. In all cases, the average obtained was higher for teachers with postgraduate studies (see table 4).

D	Bachelor's degree		Postgraduate degree		t (86)	p	d
	M	SD	M	SD			
1	2.37	0.67	2.69	0.52	2.27	.026	.54
2	1.70	0.57	1.92	0.61	1.62	.108	.37
3	3.31	0.87	3.75	0.79	2.26	.026	.53
4	4.06	0.68	4.17	0.73	0.65	.514	.15
5	3.95	0.75	4.32	0.68	2.25	.027	.52
6	3.98	0.82	4.19	0.76	1.16	.250	.27

Note: D = dimension; 1 = creativity and innovation; 2 = communication and collaboration; 3 = research and information management; 4 = critical thinking, problem solving and decision making; 5 = digital citizenship; 6 = operations and concepts of ICT.

Table 4 Comparisons of teachers' digital skills scores by educational level

Based on the previous findings, and in order to contribute to the development of the digital skills of the fifth and sixth grade teachers of the ETC, a strategy called: Pedagogy Wheel V5.0 for Android in Spanish was proposed (Carrington et al., 2016), which can be consulted at <http://bit.ly/AndroidSPAV5Print>. This strategy was intended for the teacher to use support from planning to implement their activities in the classroom with the specific use of the Android tablet delivered by the PIAD.

The Wheel is composed of a series of concentric circles and organized from the third in the six categories of Bloom's taxonomy for the digital age, reviewed by Anderson and Krathwohl (2001). In the fourth and fifth circle there are 185 action verbs and 107 activities, respectively, for the digital age, proposed by Churches (2008). In the sixth, 188 Android applications linked to Google Play are presented and the seventh relates the previous levels to the Substitution, Increase, Modification and Redefinition (SAMR) model of Puentedura (2014a, 2014b). This tool was developed and valued by a group of national and international researchers and from the 2017-2018 school year it was deployed through capacitation to teachers through the Teaching Formation Institute of the State of Sonora (Ifodes). Work is currently being done to assess how it is contributing to the development of teachers' digital skills.

Conclusions and Discussion

The objective of this study is to identify the perception of ETC elementary teachers about the development of their digital skills and their relationship with the variables capacitation in the pedagogical use of ICT and the teacher's educational level.

Regarding the first research question: What is the perception of ETC primary teachers about the development of their digital skills and in which of these dimensions are statistically significant differences? It is found they are digitally skilled in the development of critical thinking, problem solving and decision making; digital citizenship and functioning and ICT concepts.

The findings that are found on critical thinking, problem solving and decision making, differ partially from what Almeida (2014) presents by placing teachers at null and basic levels, however, it stands out as the second dimension with the highest proportion of professors between the intermediate and advanced levels. The differences between the two investigations can be associated to the period of time between the delivery of equipment by the SEP program and the teachers' self-reports of their digital skills, which can serve as a favorable element in the levels reached (Sáez, 2012).

The results on the skills to operations and concepts of ICT; coincide with the previous study by Mortis et al. (2013), who find professors competent in the Instrumental factor (examples of indicators: "use of basic software and hardware components", "free educational software" and "Internet browsing") and with those of Santiago and Sosa (2012), which expose on the development of technical skills, mainly the instrumental type of ICT.

On the other hand, the findings for digital citizenship are similar to those presented by García, Cuevas, and Ruíz (2015), where teachers show an excellent command in the dimension of computer ethics (examples of indicators: "know and apply rules of digital etiquette" and "manages the Internet responsibly and ethically").

Research and information management is the dimension in which teachers perceive themselves in a medium development of their digital skills, a fact that coincides with the work of García et al. (2015) and Pérez and Rodríguez (2016). In the first case, the teachers show a good command in the dimension of search and management of electronic information (example of indicators: "search information on the Internet about class topics"). And in the second, the participants are located at a level of sufficient (above the average) in the information competence (examples of indicators: "identifies and searches for information in search engines, meta search engines, databases" and "organizes and analyzes digital information to evaluate its purpose and relevance").

Although part of a development in this dimension, it is important to strengthen it in the context of an abundance of information on the Internet, which needs to be used critically and responsibly to transform it into knowledge (Vivancos, 2014). Therefore, it is important to establish differentiated capacitating strategies (Mortis, et al., 2013) and / or to develop teacher support mechanisms that allow them to easily incorporate technology (Luque, 2013). The dimension of creativity and innovation, which exhibits low development, shows a similar behavior to previous studies. Almerich et al. (2011) places teachers slightly above 2 points; that is, with little attention to integrate ICT in the creation of environments and innovation, meanwhile Almeida (2014) places the 71% amid the null and basic levels.

The foregoing confirms Area's (2010) approach to the fact that the inclusion of ICT in schools does not necessarily generate a pedagogical innovation in the practices of teachers. Cabero (2015b) reports that ICT are still used to reproduce what was previously done without them instead of being used in innovative learning practices. Therefore, it is very important to promote strategies to strengthen the development of these skills because, as Kozma (2008) points it out, as advances are made in the capacities to use ICT the teachers will demand preparation in higher skills, transcending the instrumental ones.

For the dimension of communication and collaboration the situation is different because the development of this skill is practically null. This finding supports other studies that indicate an insufficient proficiency level of teachers in the communication section (Ramírez, 2012); collaboration and communication is null and basic (Almeida, 2014) or lower proportion of the use of ICT to enhance communication by technological means (Sigalés, Mominó, Meneses, & Badia, 2008).

Although it is necessary to deepen the subject, the above may be due to the lack of Internet service in schools and the limited capacitation received in the pedagogical use of ICT, both problems reported by Beltrán, García, and Ramírez (2015a, 2015b) and Almeida (2014). The importance of the dimension of communication and collaboration is seen in the study by Cabero (2015b), who positions ICT as instruments for participation and collaboration between teachers and students, broadening the perspective of learning from an individual to a social vision, where you learn in community and you are able to interact and collaborate to build knowledge. Whereas learning is increasingly ubiquitous it is essential that the school and teachers advance to a more focused approach to connectivity, where teachers design their own learning spaces using technology as a mediator.

Likewise, Pedró (2015) states that social contexts to communicate and collaborate promote the development of more complex competencies than when working individually, promoting the emergence of dynamics of comparison, analysis, discussion, critical thinking and decision-making, among other.

For the above reasons, it is a priority not only to advance in sustainable connectivity schemes for basic education (SEP, 2016a) (since without Internet service in the classroom, teachers and students are limited to generating changes in pedagogical practices for the construction of knowledge and social interaction) but also in the implementation of mechanisms or strategies that support the teacher to empower students in the use of technology they have.

For the second research question, are there statistically significant differences between the teachers' perception of their digital skills and the variables: capacitation in the pedagogical use of ICT and the teacher's educational level? The following was found:

The capacitation variable in the pedagogical use of ICT supports the importance it has for the development of the digital skills of teachers. The statistically significant differences are identified for the dimensions of: creativity and innovation; research and management of information and operations and concepts of ICT, being for all cases the highest average for those who received more capacitation. These findings are similar to those of Mortis et al. (2013) who reported significant positive relationships with the number of courses taken in the teacher's cognitive skills.

Due to the above, it is convenient to implement relevant strategies that increase teacher capacitation, considering that this points towards elements that allow students to promote the skills of the 21st century (Binkley et al., 2012), mainly for those dimensions that showed less development; creativity and innovation, implicit in the potential of ICT (Coll, n.d.), and communication and collaboration, which contribute to the development of more complex competencies (Pedró, 2015).

In this sense, the SEP (2016b) indicates that the capacitation that is carried out should be on the equipment used by the teachers, timely, totally practical and preferably with accompaniment models. Similarly, Severín and Capota (2011) argue that the support strategies must be consistent with the electronic devices used and the pedagogical methods of using technology at the classroom level.

For the contrast variable educational level of the teacher, statistically significant differences are found for the dimensions: creativity and innovation; research and information management and digital citizenship. It should be noted that the investigation of Mortis et al. (2013) also show differences and higher scores in the digital competences of professors with postgraduate studies; like the one of Díaz-Maroto and Casales (2015) and Ramírez (2014) for professors with master degree.

These results point to assess the importance of continuing education and professional improvement of teachers of basic education as a means to achieve the goals of citizens prepared for the 21st century (Vacchieri, 2013) as they become one of the main strategies for develop new knowledge in professional practice.

Continuous training through capacitation addresses innovations in the education system; among these are the technological ones, which include, for example, the program of digital skills for all (HDT) and PIAD. The professional improvement, through specialty, masters and doctorate, are destined to reach higher levels of habilitation. Therefore the problems that arise in this area must be address; such as limited supply and endogamic character, among others (SEP, n.d.), since Díaz (2014) and Johnson et al. (2013) point out that teacher training continues to be one of the biggest challenges to be solved in order to incorporate ICT into the education system.

It is concluded that even when there is a greater development in the digital skills of critical thinking, problem solving and decision making, digital citizenship and operations and concepts of ICT, there are still dimensions that need to be addressed. The variables communication and collaboration and creativity and innovation stand out priorly, and to a lesser extent, research and information management. In two of the three cases, the capacitation in the pedagogical use of ICT and the teacher's educational level (except in communication and collaboration) are statistically significant to a greater number of courses and educational level obtained by the teacher.

Therefore, first of all, it is necessary to investigate what elements are involved in the low development of the aforementioned digital skills and secondly to strengthen the continuous capacitation and professional improvement in order to advance in the development of these digital skills. Although the study provides relevant information about the development of digital skills in ETC elementary teachers, it also has limitations that make these results should be taken with caution.

In the first place, the sample size is limited, which makes it difficult to analyze the validity of the internal structure of the TDS scale and the generalization of the results; therefore, it is recommended for future investigations to expand the size of it. Secondly, other variables that can affect the development of teachers' digital skills are not considered in the study, this implies the need to include aspects such as technological equipment, connectivity and barriers to the integration of technologies in ETC, among others, this will undoubtedly allow the investigations to move towards the use of multivariate statistical methods.

It is considered that with the above suggestions, the instrument will be strengthened and the topic of teachers' digital skills can be addressed simultaneously from different variables. Likewise, it is suggested that the proposal be followed up in such a way that alternatives are still sought to contribute to the development of digital skills in elementary school teachers.

References

Almeida, M. A. (2014). Competencias digitales (Digital competences). *Perspectivas docentes*, (56), 45-56. Retrived from <http://www.revistas.ujat.mx/index.php/perspectivas/article/view/1282>

Almerich, G., Suárez, J. M., Jornet, J. M., & Orellana, M. N. (2011). Las competencias y el uso de las Tecnologías de Información y Comunicación (TIC) por el profesorado: estructura dimensional (Competences and the use of Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) by the teaching staff: dimensional structure). *REDIE. Revista Electrónica de Investigación Educativa*, 13(1), 28-42. Retrived from <http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=15519374002>

Anderson, L. W., & Krathwohl, D. (2001). *A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives (A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives)*. New York: Longman.

Area, M. (2010). El proceso de integración y uso pedagógico de las TIC en los centros educativos. Un estudio de casos (The process of integration and pedagogical use of ICT in educational centers. A case study). *Revista de Educación*, (352), 77-97. Retrieved from http://www.revistaeducacion.educacion.es/re352/re352_04.pdf

Beltrán, J. A., García, R. I., & Ramírez, M. S. (noviembre, 2015a). Usabilidad y apropiación del programa “Mi CompuMx” desde la perspectiva de los docentes de primaria. En M. C. Barrón (Presidente). *Aportes y reflexiones desde la investigación educativa: ¿qué sabemos... que nos falta? (Usability and ownership of the "My CompuMx" program from the perspective of elementary school teachers)*. In M. C. Barrón (President). *Contributions and reflections from educational research: what do we know ... what we lack?*. *XIII Congreso Nacional de Investigación Educativa, Chihuahua, México*. Retrieved from <http://ciie.itesm.mx/es/memorias/>

Beltrán, J. A., García, R. I., & Ramírez, M. S. (noviembre, 2015b). Percepción docente sobre sus experiencias con el programa “Mi CompuMx” En M. C. Barrón (Presidente) (Teacher perception about their experiences with the program "My CompuMx" In M. C. Barrón (President)). *Segundo Congreso Internacional de Innovación Educativa, México. D.F.* Retrieved from <http://ciie.itesm.mx/es/memorias/>

Binkley, M., Erstad, O., Hermna, J., Raizen, S., Ripley, M., Miller-Ricci, M., & Rumble, M. (2012). Defining twenty-first century skills. En P. Griffin, E. Care, & B. McGaw (Eds.). *Assessment and Teaching of 21st Century Skills. Methods and approach*. (pp. 1-267). Dordrecht: Springer. doi:10.1007/978-94-017-9395-7

Bojórquez, J. A., & López, L. (2013, Agosto 14). Utilización del alfa de Cronbach para validar la confiabilidad de un instrumento de medición de satisfacción del estudiante en el uso del software Minitab (Use of Cronbach's alpha to validate the reliability of a measurement instrument for student satisfaction in the use of the Minitab software). En R. Lorán (Presidente), *Innovation in engineering, technology and education for competitiveness and prosperity*. 11th Latin American and Caribbean Conference for Engineering and Technology, Cancun, México. Retrieved from <http://www.laccei.org/LACCEI2013-Cancun/RefereedPapers/RP065.pdf>

Britos, J., Cáceres, E., & Acosta, R. (2012). Caracterización del acceso y uso de las TIC en contextos universitarios de la ciudad de Asunción, Paraguay (Characterization of access and use of ICT in university contexts of the city of Asunción, Paraguay). En A. Betancurt (Ed.). *Cultura digital en Latinoamérica. Investigación interuniversitaria educación y evangelización*. Bogotá, Colombia: Panamericana, formas e impresos. Retrieved from <http://www.ucn.edu.co/institucion/sala-prensa/Documents/CulturaDigital.pdf>

Cabero, J. (2015a). Inclusión digital - inclusión educativa (Digital inclusion - educational inclusion). *Sinergia*, 2, 15-18. Retrieved from http://telebachilleratoenchiapas.gob.mx/wp-content/uploads/2015/08/SINERGIA_2Edici%C3%B3n.pdf

Cabero, J. (2015b). Reflexiones educativas sobre las tecnologías de la información y la comunicación (TIC) (Educational reflections on information and communication technologies (ICT)). *Revista Tecnología, Ciencia y Educación*, (1), 19-27. Retrieved from <http://tecnologia-ciencia-educacion.com/index.php/TCE/article/view/27/14>

Carrington, A., Cantú-Ballesteros, L., Carrillo-Rosas, A., Urías-Murrieta, M., Madero-Carrillo, A., & Ortega-Enríquez, F. (2016). *La Rueda Padagogy SPA V5.0 Android* (The Wheel Padagogy SPA V5.0 Android). Recuperada de <http://designingoutcomes.com/english-speaking-world-v5-0/>

Churches, A. (2008). *Welcome to the 21st Century*. Retrieved from <http://edorigami.wikispaces.com/21st+Century+Learners>

Coll. C. (n.d.). TIC y prácticas educativas: realidades y expectativas. En I. Polanco (ICT and educational practices: realities and expectations. In I. Polanco). *Las tecnologías de la información y comunicación (TIC) en la educación: retos y posibilidades*. Madrid: Fundación Santillana. Retrieved from http://www.fundacionsantillana.com/upload/ficheros/paginas/200906/xxii_semana_monografica.pdf

Creswell, J. W. (2012). *Educational research: planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research* (4^a ed.). Boston, United State: Pearson.

Díaz, A. (2014). *Las políticas TIC en los sistemas educativos de América Latina: Caso México (ICT policies in education systems in Latin America: Case of Mexico)*. UNICEF: Argentina. Retrieved from http://www.unicef.org/argentina/spanish/Mexico_OK.pdf

Díaz-Maroto, I. T., & Casales, A. (2015). Las TIC y las necesidades específicas de apoyo educativo: análisis de las competencias TIC en los docentes (ICT and the specific needs of educational support: analysis of ICT competences in teachers). *RIED. Revista Iberoamericana de Educación a Distancia*, 18(2), 355-383. Retrieved from <http://www.redalyc.org/html/3314/331439257015/>

Escobar-Pérez, J., & Cuervo_Martínez, A. (2008). Validez de contenido y juicio de expertos: Una aproximación de su utilización (Content validity and expert judgment: An approximation of its use). *Avances en Medición*, 6, 27-36. Retrieved from http://www.humanas.unal.edu.co/psicometria/files/7113/8574/5708/Articulo3_Juicio_de_expertos_27-36.pdf

García, R. I., Cuevas, O., & Ruíz, M. E. (2015). Nivel de dominio de competencias digitales de los docentes en escuelas de tiempo completo de educación básica (Teacher's level of mastery of digital skills in full-time schools of basic education). *Virtualis*, 6(12), 15-26. Retrieved from <http://aplicaciones.ccm.itesm.mx/virtualis/index.php/virtualis/article/view/126>

Hernández, R. (2012). *Instrumentos de recolección de datos en Ciencias Sociales y Ciencias Biomédicas (Data collection instruments in Social Sciences and Biomedical Sciences)*. Venezuela: Universidad de los Andes.

Hernández, R., Fernández-Collado, C., & Baptista, P. (2014). *Metodología de la Investigación (Methodology of investigation)* (6^a ed.). México: Mc Graw-Hill Interamericana.

Instituto Nacional para la Evaluación de la Educación. (2015). *Panorama educativo de México 2014. Indicadores del sistema educativo nacional. Educación básica y media superior (Educational panorama of Mexico 2014. Indicators of the national education system. Basic and high school education)*. México: INEE. Retrieved from <http://publicaciones.inee.edu.mx/buscadorPub/P1/B/114/P1B114.pdf>

International Society for Technology Education. (2008). *ISTE Standards Teacher*. En *ISTE*. Retrieved from http://www.iste.org/docs/pdfs/2014_ISTE_Standards-T_PDF.pdf

Johnson, L., Adams Becker, S., Cummins, M., Estrada, V., Freeman, A., & Ludgate, H. (2013). *NMC horizon report: 2013 higher education edition*. Austin, Texas: The New Media Consortium. Retrieved from <https://repositorio.uam.es/bitstream/ha>

Kozma, R. B. (2008). Comparative analysis of policies for ICT in education center for technology in learning. En J. Voogt, & G. Knezek (Eds.). *International handbook of information technology in primary and secondary education*. Berlín, Alemania: Springer Science. Retrieved from <http://robertkozma.com/?q=node/5>

Luque, J. (octubre, 2013). *Teachers and their Perceptions towards ICT: The Case of Honduras*. Trabajo presentado en la Conferencia sobre TIC y educación de KERIS-Banco Mundial, Seúl, CDS.

Martínez, G. A. (2009). *Caja de herramientas. Línea de trabajo desarrollo de habilidades digitales. Guía Metodológica (Toolbox. Line of work in the development of digital skills. Methodological Guide)*. México: Secretaría de Educación Pública. Retrieved from http://www2.sep.gob.mx/petc/archivos-documentosrectores/guia_habilidades_digitales.pdf

Mortis, S., Valdés, A., Angulo, J., García, R. I., & Cuevas, O. (2013). Competencias digitales en docentes de educación secundaria. Municipio de un estado del noroeste de México (Digital competences in teachers of junior high school education. Municipality of a state of the northwest of Mexico). *Perspectiva Educacional. Formación de Profesorado*, 52(2), 135-153. Retrieved from <http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=333328170007>

Pedró, F. (2011). *Tecnología y escuela: lo que funciona y por qué. Documento básico (Technology and school: what works and why. Basic document)*. XXVI Semana Monográfica de la Educación: La Educación en la Sociedad Digital. Madrid: Fundación Santillana. Retrieved from http://www.fundacionsantillana.com/upload/ficheros/noticias/201111/documento_bsico.pdf

Pedró, F. (2015). *Tecnología para mejorar la educación. Documento básico (Technology to improve education. Basic document)*. Madrid: Fundación Santillana. Retrieved from http://www.fundacionsantillana.com/fundacion_santillana/PDFs/documento_basico_xxix_semana_monografica.pdf

Pérez, A, Rodríguez, M. J. (2016). Evaluación de las competencias digitales autopercibidas del profesorado de Educación Primaria en Castilla y León (España) (Evaluation of the self-perceived digital competences of elementary education teachers in Castilla y León (Spain)). *Revista de Investigación Educativa*, 34(2), 399-415. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.6018/rie.34.2.215121>

Poggi, M. (junio, 2009). Tendencias educativas en América Latina. Implicaciones para las escuelas y las prácticas (Educational trends in Latin America. Implications for schools and practices). En SEB-Dirección General del Desarrollo de la Gestión e Innovación Educativa, *Hacia una visión compartida de las Escuelas de Tiempo Completo*. Reunión Nacional, México D.F., México. Retrived from <http://basica.sep.gob.mx/>

Poggi, M. (2014). Prologo. Políticas de integración de TIC en América Latina (Prologue. ICT integration policies in Latin America). En M. T. Lugo, N. López, & L. Toranzos (Eds.). *Políticas TIC en los sistemas educativos de América Latina. Informe sobre tendencias sociales y educativas en América Latina 2014*. Retrived from http://www.siteal.org/sites/default/files/siteal_informe_2014_politicas_tic.pdf

Puentedura, R. R. (2014a). *SAMR, practice*. Retrived from <http://www.hippasus.com/rrpweblog/archives/2014/08/22/SAMRInPractice.pdf>

Pulfer, D. (2014). Prologo. En M. T. Lugo, N. López, & L. Toranzos (Eds.). *Políticas TIC en los sistemas educativos de América Latina. Informe sobre tendencias sociales y educativas en América Latina 2014 (ICT policies in the educational systems of Latin America. Report on social and educational trends in Latin America 2014)*. Retrived from http://www.siteal.org/sites/default/files/siteal_informe_2014_politicas_tic.pdf

Puentedura, R. R. (2014b). *SAMR and Bloom's taxonomy: assembling the puzzle*. Retrived from <https://www.commonense.org/education/blog/samr-and-blooms-taxonomy-assembling-the-puzzle>

Ramírez, C. M. (2012). *Análisis de las competencias básicas en tecnologías de la información y comunicación (TIC) del profesorado de educación primaria: un plan de formación*. Municipio de Comitán Chipias, México (Tesis doctoral) (*Analysis of the basic competences in information and communication technologies (ICT) of elementary education teachers: a training plan*. Municipality of Comitán Chipias, Mexico (Doctoral thesis)). Retrived from http://ddd.uab.cat/pub/tesis/2011/hdl_10803_113680/cmrc1de2.pdf

Ramírez, C. M. (2014). Análisis de las competencias básicas en TIC del profesorado de educación de primaria (Analysis of basic ICT competencies of elementary education teachers). *Sinética*, 42, 1-17. Retrived from <https://sinectica.iteso.mx/index.php/SINECTICA/article/view/74>

Rodríguez, A., & Ramírez, O. C. (2009). *Orientaciones pedagógicas para las escuelas de tiempo completo (Pedagogical guidelines for full-time schools)*. México: Comisión Nacional de Libros de Texto Gratuitos.

Sáez, J. M. (2012). Valoración de la persistencia de los obstáculos relativos al uso de las tecnologías de la información y la comunicación en educación primaria (Assessment of the persistence of obstacles related to the use of information and communication technologies in primary education). *Educatio Siglo XXI*, 30(1), 253-274. Retrived from <http://revistas.um.es/educatio/article/view/149231>

Santiago, G., & Sosa, N. S. (2012). Recomendaciones para la reformulación de políticas de incorporación de las TIC a la educación básica en México. Desafíos y decisiones estratégicas (Recommendations for the reformulation of policies for incorporating ICT into basic education in Mexico. Challenges and strategic decisions). *Revista Latinoamericana de Estudios Educativos (México)*, XLII(4), 15-31. Retrieved from <http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=27025229002>

Secretaría de Educación Pública. (2011). *Acuerdo número 559 por el que se establece la articulación de la educación básica (Agreement number 559 by which the articulation of basic education is established)*. México, D.F.: Secretaría de Educación Pública.

Secretaría de Educación Pública. (2014a). *Lineamientos de operación para el programa U077 inclusión y alfabetización digital (Operating guidelines for the U077 inclusion and digital literacy program)*. Retrieved from http://www.gob.mx/cms/uploads/attachment/file/19954/_Programa_de_Inclusion_y_Alfabetizacion_Digital_PIAD.pdf

Secretaría de Educación Pública. (2014b). *Manual del voluntario. Verificación del funcionamiento de la solución del aula (Volunteer's manual Verification of the operation of the classroom solution)*. Programa de inclusión y alfabetización digital. Retrieved from http://svisa.sep.gob.mx/assets/static/Manual_de_l_Voluntario%20v2.0.docx

Secretaría de Educación Pública. (2015). *Programa de inclusión y alfabetización. Ciclo 2015-2016 (Inclusion and literacy program. 2015-2016 cycle)*. Retrieved from <file:///C:/Users/Personal/Downloads/>

Secretaría de Educación Pública. (2016a). *@prende 2.0. Programa de inclusión digital (@prende 2.0. Digital inclusion program)*. Retrieved from https://www.gob.mx/cms/uploads/attachment/file/162354/NUEVO_PROGRAMA__PRENDE_2.0.pdf

Secretaría de Educación Pública. (2016b). *MX México digital. Programa piloto de inclusión y alfabetización digital (MX Mexico digital. Pilot program for inclusion and digital literacy)*. Retrieved from https://www.gob.mx/cms/uploads/attachment/file/162190/PROGRAMA_PILOTO_DE_INCLUSION_Y_ALFABETIZACION_DIGITAL_PIAD_.pdf

Secretaría de Educación Pública. (n.d). *Sistema nacional de formación continua y superación profesional de maestros en servicio (National system of continuous training and professional improvement of teachers in service)*. Retrieved from <://formacioncontinuaedomex.files.wordpress.com/2010/08/sistema-nacional-de-formacion-continua.pdf>

Severin, E., & Capota, Ch. (2011). *Modelos uno a uno en América Latina y el Caribe. Panorama y perspectivas (One-to-one models in Latin America and the Caribbean. Panorama and perspectives)*. Retrieved from <https://drive.google.com/a/alumno.itson.edu.mx/file/d/0B4s6u5p7MmBDMTRkYjA0NGYtZWZINS00NDJjLTk1NGYtZTQ0MjdjOWRjNDYx/view>

Sigalés, C., Mominó, J., Meneses, J., & Badia, A. (2008). *La integración de Internet en la educación escolar española: Situación actual y perspectivas de futuro (The integration of the Internet in Spanish school education: Current situation and future perspectives)*. Barcelona, España: Ariel.

Suárez, J. M., Almerich, G., Gargallo, B., & Aliaga, M. (2010). Las competencias en TIC del profesorado y su relación con el uso de los recursos tecnológicos (Teachers' ICT competencies and their relationship with the use of technological resources). *Education Policy Analysis Archives/Archivos Analíticos de Políticas Educativas*, 18(10), 1-33. Retrieved from <http://www.redalyc.org/pdf/2750/275019712010.pdf>

Tenti, E. (2010). *Estado del arte: Escolaridad primaria y jornada escolar en el contexto internacional. Estudio de casos en Europa y América Latina (State of the art: Elementary schooling and school day in the international context. Case studies in Europe and Latin America)*. Retrieved from http://www.buenosaires.iipe.unesco.org/sites/default/files/SEP%2520Mx%2520Estado_arte%2520jornada%2520escolar.pdf

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. (2008). *Estándares de competencias en TIC para docentes (ICT competency standards for teachers)*. Retrieved from <http://www.eduteka.org/pdfdir/UNESCOstandaresDocentes.pdf>

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. (2011). *UNESCO ICT competency framework for teacher*. Paris, Francia: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. Retrieved from <http://unesdoc.unesco.org/images/0021/002134/213475E.pdf>

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. (2014). *Educación enfoque estratégico de las TIC en educación en América Latina y el Caribe (Education strategic approach to ICT in education in Latin America and the Caribbean)*. Chile: Acción Digital. Retrieved from <http://unesdoc.unesco.org/images/0022/002232/223251s.pdf>

Vacchieri, A. (2013). *Programa TIC y educación básica. Estado del arte sobre la gestión de políticas de integración de computadoras y dispositivos móviles en los sistemas educativos (ICT program and basic education. State of the art on the management of integration policies of computers and mobile devices in educational systems)*. Argentina: UNICEF.

Vargas-D'Uniam, J., Chumpitaz-Campos, L., Suárez-Díaz, G., & Badia, A. (2014). Relación entre las competencias digitales de docentes de educación básica y el uso educativo de las tecnologías (Relationship between the digital competences of teachers of basic education and the educational use of technologies). *Profesorado*, 18(3), 361-377. Retrieved from <http://digibug.ugr.es/bitstream/10481/34544/1/rev183COL9.pdf>

Villegas, M., Mortis, S., García, R., & del Hierro, E. (2017). Uso de las TIC en estudiantes de quinto y sexto grado de educación primaria (Use of ICT in fifth and sixth grade students of elementary education). *Apertura*, 9(1), 50-63. Retrieved from <http://www.udgvirtual.udg.mx/apertura/index.php/apertura/article/view/913/625>

Vivancos, J. (2014). *Tratamiento de la información y competencia digital (Information processing and digital competence)*. Madrid, España: Alianza Editorial.