# **Differential genes of** *Arabidopsis thaliana* **in response to** *Ustilago maydis* **infection**

# **Genes diferenciales de** *Arabidopsis thaliana* **en respuesta a la infección por** *Ustilago maydis*

PLANCARTE-DE LA TORRE, Marco M.†, CASARRUBIAS-CASTILLO, Kena, ROBLES-MURGUIA Celia and MÉNDEZ-MORÁN, Lucila\*

*Departamento de Ecología, Centro Universitario de Ciencias Biológicas y Agropecuarias. Universidad de Guadalajara. México*.

ID 1st Author: *Marco M., Plancarte-De la Torre* / **ORC ID:** 0000-0001-9447-9398, **Researcher ID Thomson:** GYJ-4740- 2022, **SNI-CONACYT ID:** 161889

ID 1st Co-author: *Kena, Casarrubias-Castillo* / **ORC ID:** 0000-0003-1831-8642, **Researcher ID Thomson:** G-6739-2018, **SNI-CONACYT ID:** 227935

ID 2nd Co-author: *Celia, Robles-Murguía* / **ORC ID:** 0000-0001-6413-0478, **CVU CONACYT ID:** 81038

ID 3rd Co-author: *Lucila, Méndez-Morán* / **ORC ID:** 0000-0003-4733-6153, **Researcher ID Thomson:** U-1401-2018, **CVU CONACYT ID:** 121862

**DOI**: 10.35429/EJRG.2022.14.8.23.29 Received January 30, 2022; Accepted June 30, 2022

#### **Abstract**

*Arabidopsis thaliana* - *Ustilago maydis* integrate the pathosystem used to study the plant-pathogen interaction, in order to know the molecular mechanisms involved in the response of the plant to the pathogenesis of *U. maydis*, a differential expression bank was constructed 72 hours after inoculation, using the subtractive hybridization technique. The fragments obtained were sequenced and subjected to bioinformatic analysis which allowed us to locate 36 different sequences with homology to *Arabidopsis thaliana* in response to *U. maydis* infection, several of them with roles in photosynthesis, reactive oxygen species, defense, and signaling among others, involved either directly or indirectly in the early response to infection. The results of this work are focused on understanding the plant-pathogen interaction and can be extrapolated to other model plants of agronomic importance.

**Resumen**

*Arabidopsis thaliana - Ustilago maydis* integran el patosistema utilizado para el estudio de la interacción planta-patógeno, con la finalidad de conocer los mecanismos moleculares implicados en la respuesta de la planta a la patogénesis de *U. maydis* se construyó un banco de expresión diferencial a las 72 horas posteriores a la inoculación, mediante la técnica de hibridación sustractiva. Los fragmentos obtenidos fueron secuenciados y sometidos a un análisis bioinformático el cual permitió localizar 36 secuencias distintas con homología a *Arabidopsis thaliana* en respuesta a la infección por *U. maydis*, varios de ellos con roles de fotosíntesis, especies reactivas de oxígeno, defensa, y señalización entre otros, involucrados ya sea de manera directa o indirecta en la respuesta temprana a la infección. Los resultados de este trabajo están centrados en entender la interacción planta patógeno y pueden ser extrapolados a otras plantas modelo de importancia agronómica.

#### **Pathosystem, Defense,** *Arabidopsis*

**Patosistema, Defensa,** *Arabidopsis*

**Citation:** PLANCARTE-DE LA TORRE, Marco M., CASARRUBIAS-CASTILLO, Kena, ROBLES-MURGUIA Celia and MÉNDEZ-MORÁN, Lucila. Differential genes of *Arabidopsis thaliana* in response to *Ustilago maydis* infection. ECORFAN Journal-Republic of Guatemala. 2022. 8-14:23-29.

\* Correspondence to Author (E-mail: lucila.mendez@academicos.udg.mx)

† Researcher contributing first author.

# **Introduction**

In recent years *Ustilago maydis* has been a fungal model widely used in biological and genetic studies (Bolker, 2001), it requires a host to complete its sexual cycle, and is pathogenic in maize (*Zea mays* L.), its natural host causing the disease known as common bunt or "huitlacoche" (Bolker, 2001; Kahmann and Kämper, 2004).

In studies conducted by León-Ramírez et al. in 2004, the possibility of using alternative hosts to study *U. maydis* infection was raised. The *Ustilago maydis*-*Arabidopsis thaliana* nonnatural pathosystem proved to be a useful model for the study of plant-pathogen interaction and in the analysis of virulence factors of Ustilaginales (Méndez-Morán et al., 2005), where results were achieved with a haploid strain that facilitates the analysis of the fungus in this system.

Currently, most of the work on the arabidopsis-ustilago pathosystem has focused on characterising the response genes of the fungus (Aleman-Duarte, 2009; Martínez-Soto et al, 2013) and not on the plant side, with the aim of understanding the molecular mechanisms involved in the interaction between *U. maydis* and *A. thaliana*, in the present work, a differential cDNA expression bank was constructed to analyse *A. thaliana* genes involved in the early infection of *U. maydis*, considering a time of 72 h after inoculation (hpi) of the fungus in the plant. Gene fragments obtained from an arabidopsis subtractive library were subjected to bioinformatic analysis to determine the identity of the differentially obtained sequences, with the perspective that the results obtained in this work can be extrapolated to other plant-fungus models of agronomic importance.

# **Materials and methods**

# *Plant growth, fungi and growing conditions*

The wild variety of *Arabidopsis thaliana Landsberg erecta* (Ler.) was used as host for *Ustilago maydis*. Seeds of *A. thaliana* were disinfected with 70 % ethyl alcohol for 5 minutes, then transferred to sodium hypochlorite solution (20 %) for 10 minutes, and washed 3 times with sterile distilled water. Seeds were sown on solid Murashigne and Skoog (MS) culture medium (0.8% v/v) and incubated at controlled temperature conditions (25°C), with a photoperiod of 16 h light and 8 h dark.

The wild-type *U. maydis* strain (*a1b1*) was grown in vitro in liquid MC medium (Hollyday, 1974) at 28°C under constant agitation for 18-24 h to obtain a concentration of  $1x10<sup>8</sup>$  sporidia/ $\mu$ L, cells were recovered by centrifugation and resuspended in sterile distilled water.

# *Inoculation*

Seedlings 4 days post germination (dpg) were inoculated by placing  $0.5 \mu L$   $(5X10^4 \text{ sporidi/}\mu L)$  of the  $1x10^8$  sporidia/ $\mu L$ sporidia/ $\mu L$ ) of the sporidia/µL suspension of *U. maydis* (grown in vitro) on the epidermis of the first two true leaves. Then they were placed in growth chambers at 25°C with a photoperiod of 16 h light and 8 h dark, after 72 hpi these infected plants were collected and considered within the experiment as the problem material, and as controls 7-day-old uninoculated *A. thaliana* seedlings and the haploid culture of *U. maydis* grown in vitro were used. The material was collected in liquid nitrogen and macerated in cold mortar.

# *Molecular assays*

# *RNA isolation*

RNA was extracted according to the method of Sambrook et al., 2012 and in addition the PureLink Micro-to-midi Total RNA extraction protocol PureLink Micro-to-midi Total RNA Purification System (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) was used. All samples were analysed by gel electrophoresis for integrity.

# *RT*

From the total RNA, 1 μL of Oligo dT, 1 μL of the dNTPs mixture was added and made up to a final volume of 12 μL with sterile distilled water. It was left at 65°C for 5 min. Then 4 μL of 5x buffer,  $2 \mu L$  of 0.1 M DTT and 1  $\mu L$  of Superscript II reverse transcriptase enzyme (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) were added. Incubation was left for 50 min at 42°C and then 15 min at 70°C.

PLANCARTE-DE LA TORRE, Marco M., CASARRUBIAS-CASTILLO, Kena, ROBLES-MURGUIA Celia and MÉNDEZ-MORÁN, Lucila. Differential genes of *Arabidopsis thaliana* in response to *Ustilago maydis* infection. ECORFAN Journal-Republic of Guatemala. 2022

## *Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)*

Approximately 2 ng cDNA or 2 ng plasmid DNA were used. The amount of primers was 10 µM each, the mixture of DNTP's was 10 mM and  $3 \text{ mM } MgCl<sub>2</sub>$  in a volume of 50  $\mu$ L, 5 U of Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and its 10x reaction buffer were added to the mixture, The amplification programme was as follows: initial denaturation at 94°C, for 3 min, followed by 30 cycles with denaturation at 94°C for 45 sec, alignment at temperature close to the Tm of the primers for 30 sec and polymerisation at 72°C for a time that complies with the following rule: for every 1000 bp, 1 min is required.

### *Subtractive hybridization*

Differential banks were constructed using the "PCR-Select™ cDNA Subtraction Kit" technique (Clontech Mountain View, CA, USA) according to the supplier's specifications. The cDNA of the test sample (72 hpi seedlings), and the control cDNA (uninfected seedlings and *U. maydis* grown in vitro) were used. The test samples were separated into two populations. To one population the first adaptor (Ad1) was ligated and to the other population the second adaptor (Ad2R) was ligated. No adapters were added to the control cDNA. The Ad1 and Ad2R populations were hybridised separately to the control cDNA. The hybridisation products were then mixed and two PCR amplifications were performed to obtain only the amplification of those double-stranded cDNAs (hybrids) with different adaptors, which amplified exponentially. The products of this PCR reaction were inserted into a T/A cloning vector (pDrive, in *E. coli* cells), with ampicillin and kanamycin resistance.

### *Cloning and transformation of subtracted PCR products*

The TOP10 F strain (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) was used with the conditions described by Sambrook et al. (1989), then for transformation 2 µL of the transforming DNA was added to 100 µL of TOP10 F competent cells, left on ice for 10 min, heat shocked for 2 min at  $42^{\circ}$ C and left on ice for 5 min, then 900 µL of LB medium was added and incubated for 1 h at  $37 °C$  under agitation.

Finally, the mixture obtained was spread on LB medium plates spiked with the appropriate antibiotic. The extraction of plasmid DNA from *E. coli* was performed according to the protocols described by Sambrook et al. (1989) using the alkaline lysis of Birboim and Doly (1979). When higher purity plasmid DNA was required for use in DNA sequencing, it was obtained using anion exchange columns (Qiagen, Hilden GER), according to the supplier's instructions.

## *Sequencing and bioinformatics analysis*

Sequencing was carried out at the Centro de Investigaciones y Estudios Avanzados del Instituto Politécnico Nacional (CINVESTAV-IPN), Unidad IRAPUATO with an "ABI PRISM 377 DNA sequencer from Perkin Elmer" to obtain the nucleotide sequence and perform bioinformatic analysis of the sequences. The sequences obtained were analysed with the "Basic Local Alignment Search Tool" (BLAST, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/)

algorithm developed by the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) of the US government (Altschul et. al., 997) using the BLAST'n tools (compare a nucleotide sequence against a database containing also nucleotide sequences). The database for *Arabidopsis thaliana*:

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Taxonomy/Brow ser/wwwtax.cgi?id=3701 was used to search the sequences.

### **Results and discussion**

*Selection of positive clones from subtractive hybridization*

Of these, only 181 positive clones (white) were recovered and 105 negative clones (blue) were discarded), Once the 181 positive clones were isolated, the presence of the plasmid was verified, the clones that did not present visible bands were discarded, from the 181 positive clones obtained in the subtractive library, 144 clones were selected to be sequenced, 6 of these were discarded because they did not present a sequence that could be analysed, therefore 138 sequences were submitted to bioinformatic analysis.

June 2022, Vol.8 No.14 23-29

26

Once the sequences were obtained, the adapters were searched for (TCGAGCGGCCGCCCGGGGGCAGGT [sense];

ACCTGCCCGGGGGCGGCCGCTCGA

[complementary antisense] on strand 3' or AGCGTGGTCGCGGGGCCGAGGT

[antisense] ACCTCGGCCGCGACCACGCT [complementary sense] on strand 5)' flanking the cloned fragments, so that the sequence belonging only to the fragment was subjected to bioinformatics analysis. The 138 clones were subjected to alignment analysis with the BLAST tool in the nucleotide mode (BLAST'n) considering the alignment significant when the error (E) was less than 1e-3. Figure 1 shows the distribution of the 106 sequences, with homology to *Arabidopsis thaliana* (76.8 %) representing 36 different genes, 22 sequences with homology to *Ustilago maydis* (15.9 %) coding for 19 different genes, and 10 more with no significant alignment (7.2 %), and 10 sequences with homology to Arabidopsis thaliana (76.8 %) representing 36 different genes.





**Figure 1** Sequence distribution. The number of sequences with homology to *Arabidopsis thaliana* is shown in green, sequences with identity to *Ustilago maydis* in blue and sequences with no significant alignment in yellow

#### *Sequences with homology to Arabidopsis thaliana*

Of the sequences with homology to *Arabidopsis*, 36 different sequences were obtained, which are presented in table 1 with the description at transcript level obtained from the NCBI databases, and in table 2 the annotation according to the Gene Ontology (GO) databases, which provides information on the functionality of the genes.

ISSN-On line: 2414-8849 ECORFAN® All rights reserved.

ID	# pb	Identity	<b>ID</b> Locus	Access No. NCBI	<b>Annotation (Blast Result)</b>
1	293	99 %	AT4G02520	NM 116486.3	Glutathione S-transferase
$\overline{c}$	520	100%	QBI37805.1	MK353213.1	PHI 2 (GSTF2) Isolate 180404IB4
					chloroplast, complete
					genome
3	495	99 %	AT5G60620	NM 125455.4	Glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase 9 (GPAT9)
$\overline{4}$	210	100 %	36335705	NC 037304.1	Ecotype $Col-0$
					complete mitochondrion,
					genome
5	490	99%	AT4G14030	NM_117478.6	Selenium-binding protein 1 (SBP1)
6	208	97%	AT3G21610	NM_113056.3	Acid
					phosphatase/vanadium-
					dependent haloperoxidase- related protein
$\overline{7}$	196	98%	AT5G01530	NM 120231.4	Light harvesting complex
$\mathbf{8}$	328	100%	AT2G39730	NM 179989.3	photosystem II (LHCB4.1) Rubisco activase (RCA)
10	689	99 %	AT1G76080	NM 106257.3	Chloroplastic drought-
					induced stress protein of 32
11	450	97 %	AT1G67090	NM 105379.4	kD (CDSP32)
					bisphosphate Ribulose carboxylase small chain 1A
					(RBCS1A)
12	628	98 %	AT1G27450	NM 102509.4	Adenine phosphoribosyl transferase 1 (APT1)
13	370	99 %	AT1G79040	NM 106555.4	Photosystem II subunit R
					(PSBR)
14	792	99 %	AT2G25110	NM 128068.3	Stromal cell-derived factor
					2-like protein precursor (SDF2)
15	81	100 %	AT4G28750	NM 119019.4	Photosystem I reaction
					centre subunit IV / PsaE protein (PSAE-1)
16	272	100 %	AT3G61470	NM 116012.5	Photosystem $\mathbf{I}$ light
					harvesting complex protein
17	212	99 %	AT1G20620	NM_001332452.1	(LHCA2) Catalase 3 (CAT3)
18	347	99 %	AT1G55670	NM 104443.2	Photosystem I subunit G
					(PSAG)
19	244	100 %	AT5G66570	NM_126055.4	PS П oxygen-evolving complex 1 (PSBO1)
20	180	82 %	9316370	XM 002880257.2	PREDICTED: Arabidopsis
					lyrata subsp. lyrata signal
21	155	95 %	9299655	XM_002863533.2	recognition particle PREDICTED: Arabidopsis
					subsp. lvrata lyrata
					berberine bridge enzyme- like 28
22	304	98 %	AT2G34420	NM 128994.3	Photosystem $\mathbf{I}$ light
					harvesting complex protein
23	387	98 %	AT2G45470	NM_130109.3	B1B2 (LHB1B2) FASCICLIN-like
					arabinogalactan protein 8
24	154	100 %	AT2G45470	NM 001342565.1	(FLA8) Histone superfamily protein
					(AT4G40040)
25	135	99 %	AT5G40450	NM_001344333.1	A-kinase anchor-like
26	217	99 %	AT4G22690	NM 118395.3	protein (AT5G40450) Cytochrome P450, family
					706, subfamily А.
27		99 %	AT1G28290	NM 102594.3	polypeptide 1 (CYP706A1)
	145				Arabinogalactan protein 31 (AGP31)
28	129	96 %	AT2G41840	NM 129748.4	S <sub>5</sub> Ribosomal protein
					family protein (AT2G41840)
29	190	99 %	AT2G34430	NM 128995.3	Light-harvesting
					chlorophyll-protein
					complex II subunit B1 (LHB1B1)
30	293	93 %	AT1G78630	NM 106510.3	Ribosomal protein L13
					family protein (emb1473)
31	185	98 %	AT4G34150	NM_119578.4	Calcium-dependent lipid- binding (CaLB domain)
					family protein
32	232	97 %	AT2G41110	NM_180013.3	(AT4G34150) Calmodulin 2 (CAM2)
33	244	100 %	AT3G50820	NM 114942.3	Photosystem II subunit O-2
					(PSBO2)
34	102	100 %	At1g61670	AK117715.1	Unknown protein, complete cds
35	195	99 %	AT1G07720	NM_001331724.1	3-ketoacyl-CoA synthase 3
					(KCS3)
36	400	99 %	Q5HZ38	AM489730.1	SnRK1-activating protein kinase-1 (SnAK1 gene)

**Table 1** *Arabidopsis thaliana* genes obtained from subtractive hybridization.



**Table 2** Functionality of *Arabidopsis thaliana* genes

Subtractive hybridisation was performed at 72 hpi, which is considered an early response to infection by *U. maydis* infection, we can observe that there is an induction of photosynthesis genes (photosystems I and II); some genes related to response to pathogens such as bacteria and fungi; other genes related to oxidative stress; some genes involved in signal transduction; Calvin cycle genes and several other genes related to both anabolic and catabolic metabolism, transport, biological processes, protein binding, histones, and protein of unknown function.

The arabidopsis pathosystem has been described by Mendez-Morán and collaborators in 2005, additionally, in a previous work this same pathosystem was analysed under a microarray scheme finding a set of differential genes (unpublished data), from these results it was possible to characterise the *PLA2A* gene in response to ustilago infection (Casarrubias-Castillo et al., 2021).

In plants, defensive responses are costly and therefore require internal adjustment, which may be related to reductions in growth, reproduction or storage, as well as increases in carbon assimilation to cover metabolic demands (Schwachtje and Baldwin, 2008), which can be reflected in the induction of genes related to the photosynthetic process in both photosystem I and photosystem II, and clearly the chloroplast is highly active and the induction of these genes is represented in the results (table 1). The chloroplast also provides biosynthetic functions to generate defence hormones such as salicylic acid (SA) and jasmonic acid (JA), and the reactive oxygen species (ROS) response, and this organelle is an important plant defence mediator in maize plants in response to ustilage infection (Kretschmer et al., 2017 and literature cited therein).

Increases in photosynthesis can lead to changes in the source-consumer relationship as a result of the increased demand for energy and carbon sources required for the production of defensive compounds (Schwachtje and Baldwing, 2008).

As a result, the induction of genes related to signal transduction such as calmodulin (CAM2), protein kinases (SnAK1 and A-kinase anchor-like protein), a regulator of defence responses (CYP706A1) and a PRR receptor (SDF2), indicating that there is an initial response to the attack, and that there are genes induced both in response to JA and SA according to the functionality of several genes (table 2) and that both, in many cases, are antagonistic to each other, while ethylene signalling (ET) can be synergistic with JA signalling, both associated with resistance to necrotrophic pathogens (Pieterse et al., 2012). *U. maydis* possesses a Jsi1 effector, which triggers the response of genes related to ethylene response, probably attenuating the SA pathway that is effective against biotrophic pathogens (Darino et al., 2021).

Some JA response genes were also obtained such as the gene encoding for Arabinogalactone protein 31 (AGP31) and FASCICLIN-like arabinogalactan protein 8 (FLA8), both with a possible role in the response to pathogenic infection (Wu et al., 2020), and genes involved in the detoxification of reactive oxygen species such as Glutathione-Stransferase (GSTF2) and catalase 3 (CAT3) were also observed.

# **Conclusions**

The subtractive hybridisation technique besides helping us to identify low abundance genes, allowed us to identify genes involved in several processes such as: photosynthesis, reactive oxygen species, defence, and signalling among others, and may be involved either directly or indirectly in the early response to infection, coupled with these results and other data confirmed by microarrays (unpublished data) what happens in this *Arabidopsis thaliana*-*Ustilago maydis* pathosystem occurs the same as in maize plants, the pathogen in its haploid stage keeps the plant alive and the fungus lives at the expense of its energy, reducing the size, maintaining the tumours and increasing the anthocyanin content (Méndez-Morán et al. , 2005). The results obtained in this work can be extrapolated to other plant-fungus models of agronomic importance and to understand the plant-pathogen interaction.

# **References**

Alemán-Duarte M.I. (2009). Obtención de una biblioteca substractiva de cDNA en la infección temprana de *Ustilago maydis* en *Arabidopsis thaliana*. [Tesis de Licenciatura, Universidad de Guadalajara]. Repositorio institucional de CUCB[A](http://repositorio.cucba.udg.mx:8080/xmlui/handle/123456789/4929)

http://repositorio.cucba.udg.mx:8080/xmlui/han dle/123456789/4929

Altschul, S. F., Madden, T. L., Schäffer, A. A., Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., Miller, W., & Lipman, D. J. (1997). *Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs*. *Nucleic Acids Research* (Vol. 25). Oxford University Press.

Bimboim, H. C., & Doly, J. (6197). *A rapid alkaline extraction procedure for screening recombinant plasmid DNA*. *Nucleic Acids Research* (Vol. 7). Retrieved from https://academic.oup.com/nar/article/7/6/1513/2 380972

Bölker, M., Urban, M., & Kahmann, R. (1992). The a mating type locus of U. maydis specifies cell signaling components. *Cell*, *68*(3), 441–450. https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(92)90182-C

Casarrubias-Castillo, K., Zañudo-Hernández, J., & Méndez-Morán, L. (2021). PLA2A gene from Arabidopsis thaliana in response to infection by Ustilago maydis. *Journal of Natural and Agricultural Sciences*, *8*, 8–13. https://doi.org/10.35429/JNAS.2021.23.8.8.13

Darino, M., Chia, K. S., Marques, J., Aleksza, D., Soto-Jiménez, L. M., Saado, I., … Djamei, A. (2021). Ustilago maydis effector Jsi1 interacts with Topless corepressor, hijacking plant jasmonate/ethylene signaling. *New Phytologist*, *229*(6), 3393–3407. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.17116

Holliday, R. (2004). Early studies on recombination and DNA repair in Ustilago maydis. *DNA Repair*, *3*(6), 671–682. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.DNAREP.2004.02.00  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}$ 

Kahmann, R., & Kämper, J. (2004). *Ustilago maydis* : how its biology relates to pathogenic development. *New Phytologist*, *164*(1), 31–42. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469- 8137.2004.01156.x

PLANCARTE-DE LA TORRE, Marco M., CASARRUBIAS-CASTILLO, Kena, ROBLES-MURGUIA Celia and MÉNDEZ-MORÁN, Lucila. Differential genes of *Arabidopsis thaliana* in response to *Ustilago maydis* infection. ECORFAN Journal-Republic of Guatemala. 2022

Kretschmer, M., Croll, D., & Kronstad, J. W. (2017). Chloroplast-associated metabolic functions influence the susceptibility of maize to Ustilago maydis. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, *18*(9), 1210–1221. https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.12485

Martínez-Soto, D., Robledo-Briones, A. M., Estrada-Luna, A. A., & Ruiz-Herrera, J. (2013). Transcriptomic analysis of Ustilago maydis infecting Arabidopsis reveals important aspects of the fungus pathogenic mechanisms. *Plant Signaling and Behavior*, *8*(8). https://doi.org/10.4161/psb.25059

León‐Ramírez, C. G., Cabrera‐Ponce, J. L., Martínez‐Espinoza, A. D., Herrera‐Estrella, L., Méndez, L., Reynaga‐Peña, C. G., & Ruiz‐ Herrera, J. (2004). Infection of alternative host plant species by *Ustilago maydis*. *New Phytologist, 164*(2), 337–346[.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2004.01171.x) https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469- 8137.2004.01171.x

Méndez-Morán, L., Reynaga-Peña, C. G., Springer, P. S., & Ruiz-Herrera, J. (2005). Ustilago maydis infection of the nonnatural host Arabidopsis thaliana. *Phytopathology*, *95*(5), 480–488. https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-95- 0480

Pieterse, C. M. J., Van der Does, D., Zamioudis, C., Leon-Reyes, A., & Van Wees, S. C. M. (2012). Hormonal Modulation of Plant Immunity. *Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology*, *28*(1), 489–521. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-cellbio-092910-154055

Sambrook J.; Fritsch E.F.; Maniatis T. (2012). *Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual*. Fourth Edition. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press. Cold Spring Harbor, New York.

Schwachtje, J., & Baldwin, I. T. (2008). Why Does Herbivore Attack Reconfigure Primary Metabolism? *Plant Physiology*, *146*(3), 845– 851. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.107.112490

Wu, X., Wu, X., Lai, Y., Lv, L., Ji, M., Ji, M., … Chen, J. (2020). Fasciclin-like arabinogalactan gene family in Nicotiana benthamiana: Genomewide identification, classification and expression in response to pathogens. *BMC Plant Biology*, *20*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-020- 02501-5.

PLANCARTE-DE LA TORRE, Marco M., CASARRUBIAS-CASTILLO, Kena, ROBLES-MURGUIA Celia and MÉNDEZ-MORÁN, Lucila. Differential genes of *Arabidopsis thaliana* in response to *Ustilago maydis* infection. ECORFAN Journal-Republic of Guatemala. 2022